INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION 302 W. WASHINGTON STREET, SUITE E-306 INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA 46204-2764



http://www.state.in.us/nurc/ Office: (317) 232-2701 Facsimile: (317) 232-6758

IN THE MATTER OF THE INVESTIGATION)	FILED
ON THE COMMISSION'S OWN MOTION, UNDER)	FILED
INDIANA CODE § 8-1-2-72, INTO ANY AND ALL)	
MATTERS RELATING TO THE COMMISSION'S)	NOV 2 3 2 004
MIRRORING POLICY ARTICULATED IN)	anne da anta da
CAUSE NO. 40785 AND THE EFFECT OF THE)	INDIANA UTILITY
FCC'S MAG ORDER ON SUCH POLICY,)	REGULATORY COMMISSION
ACCESS CHARGE REFORM, UNIVERSAL)	
SERVICE REFORM, AND HIGH COST OR)	
UNIVERSAL SERVICE FUNDING)	
MECHANISMS RELATIVE TO TELEPHONE)	
AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES)	
WITHIN THE STATE OF INDIANA)	CAUSE NO. 42144

You are hereby notified that on this date the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission ("Commission") has caused the following entry to be made:

Pursuant to a Docket Entry issued in this Cause on November 1, 2004, an Attorneys' Conference was held in this matter on November 19, 2004, at 10:00 a.m. EST in Room TC-10 of the Indiana Government Center South, Indianapolis, Indiana. The Attorneys' Conference was scheduled to allow the parties to apprise the Commission of the status of their efforts to comply with the conditions set forth in the Final Order ("Final Order") issued in this Cause on March 17, 2004, and to allow the Commission to conduct the blind draws required by the Final Order.

Pursuant to the terms of the Final Order an Oversight Committee is to be established, comprised of one company representative from each of six different industry groups: 1) the three largest ILECs; 2) the Rural LECs; 3) the Competitive LECs; 4) the Interexchange Carriers; 5) the Wireless Providers; and, 6) the OUCC. The Order required each of these groups to submit the name of the individual chosen to the Commission. Order at 41.

With the exception of the Interexchange Carriers, who had not submitted the name of their representative and the Rural ILECs who submitted two names--Mr. Mitchell R. Procter and Ms. Tammy Teague--each of the remaining parties had named an individual to serve on the Oversight Committee. During the Attorneys' Conference, Alan Matsumoto of Sprint Communications Company L.P. and United Telephone Company of Indiana, Inc. (collectively "Sprint") indicated that he would serve as the Interexchange Carrier representative on the Oversight Committee and that Sprint would therefore no

longer play any role with respect to participation on behalf of the large ILECs on the Oversight Committee. Pursuant to the terms of the Final Order, the Presiding Officers conducted a blind draw to determine the name of a single representative for the Rural LECs. The Presiding Officers drew the name of Mr. Mitchell R. Procter who will serve as the Rural ILECs' representative on the Oversight Committee. Accordingly, each of the positions on the Oversight Committee have been filled.

In accordance with the terms of the Final Order, the Presiding Officers also conducted a blind draw to determine the initial terms for representatives of the various entities on the Oversight Committee. The following initial terms were established by the blind draw:

A. Initial One-Year Terms

- 1. Office of the Utility Consumer Counselor- Mr. Ron Keen
- 2. Competitive Local Exchange Carriers- Ms. Pamela H. Sherwood

B. Initial Two-Year Terms

- 1. Rural Local Exchange Carriers- Mr. Mitchell R. Procter
- 2. Interexchange Carriers- Mr. Alan Matsumoto

C. Initial Three-Year Terms

- 1. Wireless Providers- Mr. David R. Conn
- 2. Large Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers- Mr. James Stidham, Jr.

No further action was taken at the Attorneys' Conference. As these unresolved issues have now been addressed by the parties and the Commission, the Oversight Committee should, pursuant to the terms of the Final Order move forward--without delay--to select an administrator for the IUSE.

David E. Ziegner, Commissioner

Scott R. Storms, Chief Administrative Law Judge

Date: <u>Marmber 33, 2004</u>